

FY07 Procurement Candidates

Installation	RFP Projected Release Date	Contracting Agency	Comments
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD Canal Creek	2 nd Q	TBD	On-Site Evaluation Completed Mar 06
Anniston Army Depot, AL	Deferred to FY08	Deferred to FY08	On-Site Evaluation Completed Nov 06
Badger Army Ammunition Plant, WI	2 nd Q	TBD	On-Site Evaluation Scheduled Feb 07
Dugway Proving Ground, UT Phase III	3 rd Q	TBD	On-Site Evaluation Scheduled Feb 07 Candidate Evaluation Report
Fort Bragg, NC	4th Q FY06	APG Directorate of Contracting ACSIM ID/IQ – Large Business	Awarded 7 Dec 06 Candidate Evaluation Report
Fort Campbell, KY	4th Q FY06	APG Directorate of Contracting ACSIM ID/IQ – Large Business	Awarded 15 Nov 06 Candidate Evaluation Report
Fort Carson, CO	Deferred to FY08	Deferred to FY08	On-Site Evaluation Completed Oct 06
Fort Devens, MA	1 st Q	APG Directorate of Contracting ACSIM ID/IQ – Small Business	Final RFP Released 5 Dec 06
Fort Lee & Fort Eustis, VA	4th Q FY06	APG Directorate of Contracting ACSIM ID/IQ – Small Business	Award Pending Candidate Evaluation Report
Fort Leonard Wood, MO Phase II	3rd Q	US Army Corp of Engineers Kansas City District	On-Site Evaluation Not Needed
Fort Lewis, WA	2 nd Q	TBD	TBD
Fort Myer, VA	3 rd Q	TBD	On-Site Evaluation Not Needed
Haines Pipeline, AK	1 st Q FY06	USARAK Regional Contracting Office Small Business Set-Aside	Award Pending Solicitation #W912CZ-06-R-0005
Letterkenny Army Depot, PA	3 rd Q	TBD	On Site Evaluation Scheduled Dec 06
Redstone Arsenal, AL Phase II	3 rd Q	TBD	On-Site Evaluation Completed Mar 06
Rocky Mountain Arsenal, CO	Deferred to FY09	Deferred to FY09	On-Site Evaluation Completed Nov 06
Yuma Proving Ground, AZ	2 nd Q	TBD	On Site Evaluation Completed Apr 06
Remedial Action Operation / Long Term Monitoring [RA(O)/LTM]	2nd Q	TBD	TBD

As of 5 Jan 07

[NOTE: Hyperlinked Installation Action Plans (IAPs) may not be the latest. FY05 IAPs will be posted until such time FY06 plans are finalized. Information contained in Candidate Evaluation Reports (CERs) is based on preliminary evaluations and does not necessarily reflect requirements that will be solicited in the final Performance Work Statement (PWS). The candidate installations, contracting agency and/or projected release date may be changed in order to maximize the achievement of Army FY PBC goals. Refer to the [PBC Guidebook](#) for an understanding of the PBC process].